Table 1: 2000 Journals Survey

2000 Journals Survey ¹	AJP ²	ARETH ³	CA	СВ	CJ	CO	CP	CW	HEL	HESP	HSCP	ICS	PHOEN	TAPA	VERG	TOTALS
# of submissions by women	19	8	19.5	2	17.5	7	14	10	18	11	5	8	14	15	4	172
# of submissions by men	54	13	27.5	18	34.5	8	31	20	10	12	28	17	36	28	14	351
# of submissions of unknown gender	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# of acceptances for women	6	5	6	2	4	5	11	4	8	4.6	1	8	3	4	0	71.6
# of acceptances for men	13	3	7	13	9	4	18	5	2	6.3	13	17	10	10	6	136.3
# of acceptances of unknown gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptance rate for women	32%	63%	31%	100%	23%	71%	79%	40%	44%	42%	20%	100%	21%	27%	0%	42%
Acceptance rate for men	24%	23%	25%	72%	26%	50%	58%	25%	20%	53%	46%	100%	28%	36%	43%	39%
% of total submissions by women	25%	38%	41%	10%	34%	47%	31%	33%	64%	48%	15%	32%	28%	35%	22%	33%
% of total submissions by men	72%	62%	57%	90%	66%	53%	69%	67%	36%	52%	85%	68%	72%	65%	78%	67%
% of total accepted by women	32%	63%	46%	13%	31%	56%	38%	44%	80%	42%	7%	32%	23%	29%	0%	34%
% of total accepted by men	68%	38%	54%	87%	69%	44%	62%	56%	20%	58%	93%	68%	77%	71%	100%	66%
# of reviews by women	5	NA	NA	0	9	16	10	16	NA	NA	NA	NA	11	NA	6	73
# of reviews by men	21	NA	NA	7	13	41	11	43	NA	NA	NA	NA	31	NA	8	175
% reviews by women	19%	NA	NA	0%	41%	28%	48%	27%	NA	NA	NA	NA	26%	NA	43%	29%
% reviews by men	81%	NA	NA	100%	59%	72%	52%	73%	NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	NA	57%	71%
# of referees	59	22	60	16	78	22	60	49	31	46	13	6	78	68	23	631
# of women referees	23	6	23	5	33	10	21	15	17	19	2	2	16	30	9	231
% of referees who are women	39%	27%	38%	31%	42%	45%	35%	31%	55%	41%	15%	33%	21%	44%	39%	37%
# of editorial board members	14	5	9	17	7	15	25	12	13	6	5	7	23	1	13	172
# of women on editorial board	4	2	4	2	3	6	9	5	8	4	0	2	8	1	4	62
# of minorities on editorial board	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	NAV	0	0	0	0	NA	0	0	1
% editorial board women	29%	40%	44%	12%	43%	40%	36%	42%	62%	67%	0%	29%	35%	100%	31%	36%
% editorial board ethnic minorities	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	NAV	0%	0%	0%	0%	NA	0%	0%	0.58%
Editor is a woman	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	6 yes/9 no
Editor belongs to a minority group	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	0 yes/15 no
Policy on anonymous submissions	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	Undefined	14 REQ, 1 Undefined
Policy on anonymous referees	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	Undefined	14 REQ, 1 Undefined
Initial number of referees	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2 AV
# of people reading each submission	3	2 or 3	2	2 or 3	3	5	3	4	2	4	5	2	3	3	3	3.13 AV
Policy on accepting articles on women & minority groups	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	2 yes/13 no
Policy on increasing representation of women & minority groups	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	2 yes/13 no

Notes:

- 1. No figures were available for the year 2000 from the American Journal of Ancient History, Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies, and Mouseion (formerly Echoes du Monde Classique/Classical Views).
- 2. The year 2000 was a transition year for *AJP*. A woman took over as editor-in-chief in mid-year but is included in the number of women editors calculation. Information about referees includes only the Latin articles, which were evaluated by 2 referees each; information about referees for Greek articles, which were evaluated by one referee, was not available to the current editor. The book reviews for 2000 were all commissioned by the previous book review editor. *AJP* is open to encouraging all approaches, especially interdisciplinary ones and ones that represent new fields.
- 3. Arethusa notes that several of its female referees evaluated more than one article.